UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/816,582	03/31/2004	R. David Arnold	07844-636001 / P589	8418
21876 FISH & RICHA	7590 05/13/200 ARDSON P.C.	EXAMINER		
P.O. Box 1022			CHOW, JEFFREY J	
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55440-1022			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2628	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/13/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Interview Summary	10/816,582	ARNOLD ET AL.				
interview Guinmary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Jeffrey J. Chow	2628				
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):						
(1) <u>Jeffrey J. Chow</u> .	(3) <u>Hans Troesch (36,950)</u> .					
(2) <u>Brenda Binder (57,520)</u> .	(4) <u>R. Arnold and T. Dowlin</u>	g (Inventors).				
Date of Interview: <u>07 May 2008</u> .						
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative]						
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description:						
Claim(s) discussed: <u>11</u> .						
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>Dowling (uS 5,943,063) and Arnold (US 5,929,866)</u> .						
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.						
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>Discusses proposed supplemental amendment</u> . <u>Limitation "before any rastering" would overcome the prior art rejections because Arnold calculates an adjusted density value from a rasterized character</u> . <u>Further search and consideration is required</u> .						
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)						
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.						
	/Jeffrey J Chow/					

Examiner's signature, if required